

Math 210C Lecture 5 Notes

Daniel Raban

April 10, 2019

1 Hilbert's Nullstellensatz and Spectra of Rings

1.1 Hilbert's Nullstellensatz

Last time, we were proving Hilbert's Nullstellensatz. Let $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, where K is algebraically closed.

Theorem 1.1 (Hilbert's Nullstellensatz). *I and V provide mutually inverse, inclusion reversing bijections $\{\text{radical ideals of } K[x_1, \dots, x_n]\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{algebraic sets in } \mathbb{A}_K^n\}$.*

Proof. It remains to prove that $I(V(\mathfrak{a})) \subseteq \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$, where \mathfrak{a} is an ideal of R . Since R is noetherian, $\mathfrak{a} = (g_1, \dots, g_k)$, so $R[y] = K[x_1, \dots, x_n, y] \supseteq J = (g_1, \dots, g_k, 1 - fy)$. If $a \in V(J)$, where $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{n+1})$, then $b := (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in V(\mathfrak{a})$, so $f(b) = 0$. Then $(1 - fy)(a) = 1 - f(b)a = 0$, which is impossible. So $V(J) = \emptyset$, which means that $J = R[y]$.

Let $I = h(1 - fy) + \sum_{i=1}^k h_i g_i$, where $h, h_i \in R[y]$. Let $N = \max(\{\deg_y(h_i) : 1 \leq i \leq k\} \cup \{h_y\})$. If $z = y^{-1}$, then $z^{N+1} = h'(z - f) + \sum_{i=1}^k h'_i g_i$, where $h', h'_i \in R[z]$. Then setting $z = f$ gives $f^{N+1} = \sum_{i=1}^k h'_i(f)g_i \in \mathfrak{a}$, so $f \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$. \square

Remark 1.1. If $S \subseteq R$, then $V(S) = V(\sqrt{(S)})$, and $I(V(S)) = \sqrt{(S)}$. If $Z \subseteq \mathbb{A}_K^n$, then $I(Z) = I(\overline{Z})$, and $V(I(Z)) = \overline{Z}$, where \overline{Z} is the closure of Z in the Zariski topology.

Definition 1.1. An algebraic set is **irreducible** if it is not a union of any two proper algebraic subsets.

Corollary 1.1. *I and V restrict to mutually inverse bijections $\{\text{prime ideals of } R\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{irreducible algebraic sets in } \mathbb{A}_K^n\}$.*

Proof. If Z is an algebraic set, then $Z = V(I)$, where I is a radical ideal. Then $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{q}_i$, where \mathfrak{q}_i is primary. Then $I = \sqrt{I} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_i}$, so $\mathfrak{q}_i = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_i}$ is prime for all i . Z is irreducible if and only if I is irreducible, which means that I is prime (since it is radical and $n = 1$). \square

1.2 Spectra of rings

Definition 1.2. The **spectrum** $\text{Spec}(R)$ of a ring R is its set of prime ideals.

Example 1.1. If R is a PID, then $\text{Spec}(R) = \{(0)\} \cup \{(f) : f \text{ is irreducible}\}$.

Example 1.2. In $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $(0) \subsetneq (x_1) \subsetneq (x_1, x_2) \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. The corresponding algebraic subsets decrease in dimension as we go up the chain.

We can define V and I (slightly differently) for general rings.

Definition 1.3. If $T \subseteq R$, then $V(T) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) : T \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$. If $Y \subseteq \text{Spec}(R)$, then $I(Y) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in Y} \mathfrak{p}$.

Comparison with V and I for $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$:

- If $T \subseteq R$ and $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq R$ is maximal, then $T \subseteq \mathfrak{m} = (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)$. So (a_1, \dots, a_n) is in the vanishing locus of T . If we think of this point as \mathfrak{m} , then $\mathfrak{m} \in V(T)$. For our current definition of V , $\mathfrak{p} \in V(T) \iff T \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. So V extends the notion of the vanishing locus.
- T corresponds to $V \subseteq \mathbb{A}_K^n$. Then $T \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \iff V$ contains the irreducible algebraic set corresponding to \mathfrak{p} . This is iff V contains all points corresponding to maximal ideals $\supseteq \mathfrak{p}$. On the other hand, $T \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p} \in V(T)$. Then $V(T)$ contains all maximal ideals containing \mathfrak{p} .
- If $Z \subseteq \mathbb{A}_K^n$, then the radical ideal I corresponding to Z has the form $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{p}_i$ for \mathfrak{p}_i prime. If we define $Y \subseteq \text{Spec}(R)$ to be $Y = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$, then $I(Y) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in Y} \mathfrak{p} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{p}_i = I$.

This should give you a rough sense that these are natural extensions of our definitions to the set of all prime ideals.

Note that $V(T) = V(\sqrt{T})$. If I is an ideal, $V(I) = V(\sqrt{I})$ because if $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, then $\sqrt{I} \subseteq \sqrt{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}$.

Lemma 1.1. $V((0)) = V(0) = \text{Spec}(R)$, and $V(R) = \emptyset$.

1. If $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ are ideals of R , then $V(\mathfrak{a}) \cup V(\mathfrak{b}) = V(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) = V(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b})$.
2. If $\{\mathfrak{a}_j : j \in X\}$ is a set of ideal, then $\bigcap_{j \in X} V(\mathfrak{a}_j) = V(\bigcup_{j \in X} \mathfrak{a}_j) = V(\sum_{j \in X} \mathfrak{a}_j)$.

Definition 1.4. The **Zariski topology** on $\text{Spec}(R)$ is the unique topology with closed sets the $V(I)$, where $I \subseteq R$ is an ideal.

Remark 1.2. In $\text{Spec}(R)$, if \mathfrak{m} is maximal, then $\{\mathfrak{m}\}$ is closed. These are the only closed points, so we call maximal ideals **closed points** of R . If \mathfrak{p} is prime but not maximal, then there exists a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} such that $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{m}$. Then $\overline{\{\mathfrak{p}\}} = V(\mathfrak{p}) \supseteq \{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{m}\}$. So $\{\mathfrak{p}\}$ is closed if and only if \mathfrak{p} is maximal.